Macro II

Professor Griffy

UAlbany

Spring 2022

Announcements

- ► Today: Start heterogeneous agent models.
- First: income fluctuation problem.
- ▶ Homework 5 due next Thursday, homework 6 due May 5th.

Thinking about Uncertainty in Macroeconomic Models

- Uncertainty makes macroeconomic models more difficult to solve.
- We make assumptions about the environment (preferences, technology, etc.) to decrease complexity of problem.
- Euler Equation:

$$u'(c_t) = \beta E[(1 + \underbrace{r_{t+1}}_{GE}) \underbrace{u'(c_{t+1})}_{Non-linear}]$$
(1)

- - Each agent chooses consumption and savings based on a
 - 1. general equilibrium object (given by the decision rules of all other agents)
 - 2. (potentially highly) non-linear marginal utility.

Thinking about Uncertainty in Macroeconomic Models

Market clearing:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} ((1+r_{t+1})a_{i,t+1}+w_{i,t+1}-c_{i,t+1}-a_{i,t+2}) = 0 \qquad (2)$$

- Wealth + Income (Consumption + Savings) = 0
- Now we have to find decision rules that satisfy

$$u'(c_{i,t}) = \beta E[(1+r_{t+1})u'(c_{i,t+1})]$$
(3)

• Imposing decision rules as a function of worker state $(\hat{S}_{i,t})$:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} ((1+r_{t+1})a_{i,t+1}(\hat{S}_{i,t+1}) + w_{i,t+1}(\hat{S}_{i,t+1}))$$
(4)
$$-\sum_{i=1}^{N} (c_{i,t+1}(\hat{S}_{i,t+1}) - a_{i,t+2}(\hat{S}_{i,t+2})) = 0$$
(5)

Thinking about Uncertainty in Macroeconomic Models

 Typical assumptions in macroeconomics are a convex combination of

1. certainty equivalence:

$$u'(\bar{c}_{i,t}) = \beta E[(1 + \underbrace{r_{t+1}}_{GE}) \underbrace{u'(\bar{c}_{i,t+1})}_{Closer to \ Linear}]$$
(6)

2. linearized decision rules:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} ((1+r_{t+1})a_{i,t+1} + w_{i,t+1} - c_{i,t+1} - a_{i,t+2}) = 0$$
(7)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} ((1+r_{t+1})\beta_a \hat{S}_{i,t+1} + \beta_w (\hat{S}_{i,t+1}) - \beta_c \hat{S}_{i,t+1} - \beta_a \hat{S}_{i,t+2}) = 0$$
(8)

Can be expressed as matrix & solved quickly on computer.

So far

We've thought about worlds in which markets are complete:

- 1. agents can share risk perfectly;
- 2. contract on any feasible consumption stream ex-ante (Arrow-Debreu) or ex-post (sequential).
- 3. implies representative agent.
- Today: a different route. Workers cannot insure against income uncertainty.
- Explore using different preferences:
 - 1. Certainty Equivalence Quadratic Utility.
 - 2. Constant Absolute Risk Aversion Exponential Utility.
 - 3. Constant Relative Risk Aversion.
- These each imply different ways in which agents respond to income shocks and uncertainty.

Risk

How do we typically think about risk in economic models?

Absolute Risk Aversion:

$$AR = -\frac{u''(c)}{u'(c)} \tag{9}$$

- A measure of the agent's risk aversion unconditional upon their level of wealth.
- Relative Risk Aversion:

$$RRA = -\frac{u''(c)c}{u'(c)}$$
(10)

- Conditioning upon an agent's wealth, how does his risk aversion change?
- Probably most reasonable are "DARA" "CRRA"
- These will have different implications for savings and consumption.

When approximations work

▶ For a lot of the distribution, decision rules are linear:



Introduction

- In the case of quadratic utility, we will see that agents don't change their consumption choices when faced with shocks.
- Uncertainty still decreases expected utility, but does not change choices.
- Why is this relevant? One solution technique (LQ) assumes that agents have a quadratic utility function (locally risk-neutral).
- We will see that this is sometimes not a great assumption.

Quadratic Utility

Utility is given by the following:

$$\max E[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t (aC_t - bC_t^2)]$$
(11)

s.t.
$$A_{t+1} = (1+r)A_t + Y_t - C_t$$
 (12)
 $Y_{t+1} = \rho Y_t + \epsilon_{t+1}$ (13)

Euler Equation

Do the usual steps to find the Euler Equation:

$$V(A) = \max_{C,A'} aC_t - bC_t^2 + \beta E[V(A')]$$
(14)

s.t.
$$A' = (1 + r)A + Y - C$$
 (15)
 $Y' = \rho Y + \epsilon'$ (16)

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial C} = a - 2bC - \lambda \tag{17}$$

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial A'} = -\lambda + \beta E[\frac{\partial V}{\partial A'}]$$
(18)

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial A} = (1+r)\lambda \tag{19}$$

 $\Rightarrow C = \beta(1+r)E[C']$ (20)

Certainty Equivalence

Suppose that $\beta = (1 + r)$:

$$C = E[C'] \tag{21}$$

Suppose that there were two states of the world: high and low.

$$C = P_h C_h + P_l C_l \tag{22}$$

$$C = C_m \tag{23}$$

i.e., workers make savings decisions as though they are receiving the average consumption with certainty.

Prudence

- Agents in this economy are not "prudential."
- That is, they don't change their choices based upon uncertainty about the future.
- Another way to express this is in the third derivative of the utility function:

$$U''' = 0$$
 (24)

- This captures the response of marginal utility (i.e., decisions) to uncertainty.
- Marginal utility changes linearly, so any convex combination is equal to the expected value.

Random Walk

Can show for the AR(1) case:

$$C_t - C_{t-1} = \frac{r}{1+r-\rho}\epsilon\tag{25}$$

Now, consider the case in which income shocks are iid:

$$Y_{t+1} = Y_t + \epsilon_{t+1} \tag{26}$$

Then the difference in consumption becomes:

$$C_t - C_{t-1} = \epsilon_t \tag{27}$$

In other words, the agent consumes all of the shock in each period (will also happen with CRRA and autarky).

Conclusion

- In the quadratic utility world, uncertainty does not change an agents decision when compared with an identical income stream.
- In the case of CARA utility, we will see that agents have precautionary savings that result from curvature in the utility function.
- The choices are the same as they would be under complete markets.

Introduction

- Now, use CARA preferences to think about world in which certainty equivalence does not hold.
- Now, we will allow agents to be prudential in their savings response to future uncertainty.

Constant Absolute Risk Aversion Utility

The maximization problem is given by

$$\max E[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} -\frac{1}{\alpha} \exp(-\alpha C_t)]$$
(28)

s.t.
$$A_{t+1} = A_t + Y_t - C_t$$
 (29)

$$Y_t = Y_{t_1} + \epsilon_t, \epsilon_t \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$
(30)

Key difference: first derivative (i.e., policy functions), no longer linear.

Euler Equation

• Bellman Equation (implicitly assume $\beta = (1 + r)$):

$$V(A) = \max_{C,A'} - (\frac{1}{\alpha}) \exp(-\alpha C) + E[V(A')]$$
(31)

s.t.
$$A' = A + Y - C$$
 (32)
 $Y' = Y + c'$ (32)

$$Y' = Y + \epsilon' \tag{33}$$

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial C} = \exp(-\alpha C) - \lambda \tag{34}$$

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial A'} = -\lambda + E[\frac{\partial V}{\partial A'}]$$
(35)

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial A} = \lambda \tag{36}$$

$$\Rightarrow \exp(-\alpha C) = E[\exp(-\alpha C')]$$
(37)

Euler Equation

• Bellman Equation (implicitly assume $\beta = (1 + r)$):

$$\exp(-\alpha C) = E[\exp(-\alpha C')]$$
(38)

For normally distributed random variables, the following holds:

$$E[exp(x)] = exp(E[x] + \sigma_x^2/2)$$
(39)

Thus, we can rewrite the Euler Equation as

$$\exp(-\alpha C) = E(\exp(-\alpha C' + \alpha^2 \sigma^2/2))$$
(40)

$$\Rightarrow C' = C + \frac{\alpha \sigma^2}{2} + \nu \tag{41}$$

Policy Function

Policy function:

$$\Rightarrow C' = C + \frac{\alpha \sigma^2}{2} + \nu \tag{42}$$

- This says that consumption is *increasing* ex-ante in response to uncertainty, measured by σ^2 .
- What does this mean about life-cycle consumption?
- We would expect it to be upward-sloping, at least initially.

Consumption in time t

Can show:

$$C_t = (\frac{1}{T-t})A_t + Y_t - \frac{\alpha(T-t-1)\sigma^2}{4}$$
(43)

- Certainty equivalence: last term is equal to zero. i.e., cake-eating problem.
- Agents consume less than they would if their income stream was certain!

Prudence

What is different in this case?

The Euler Equation is given by:

$$\exp(-\alpha C) = E[\exp(-\alpha C')] \tag{44}$$

Suppose C = C', then consider Jensen's Inequality:

$$exp(-\alpha E(C)) < E[exp(-\alpha C)]$$
 (45)

- This needs to hold in equilibrium, thus agents must decrease current consumption.
- Agents save in excess of what they would under certainty!

CARA Utility

- When CARA agents cannot perfectly insure, they change their choices from the certainty equivalence (quadratic utility) case.
- Unfortunately, CARA has some problems: Marginal utility is finite when consumption is equal to zero.
- CRRA utility will solve this problem, but is more challenging to solve.

CRRA Preferences

- Now, we will start to think about an economy in which agents have Constant Relative Risk Averse preferences.
- i.e., power utility.
- What else does this mean? Key difference:
- Agents are very unhappy when they starve:

$$u'(0) = \infty \tag{46}$$

- Seems like a reasonable assumption.
- Cover this in heterogeneous agent models next time.

Next time

- First wave of heterogeneous agent models: how do aggregates change when *individual idiosyncratic* uncertainty is uninsurable.
- In other words: when agents must accumulate precautionary savings to insure against income shocks.
- Key "first wave" papers (no particular order):
 - Huggett (1993): Incomplete markets exchange economy with GE interest rate.
 - Imrohoroglu (1989): Individual and aggregate uncertainty with fixed interest rate.
 - Aiyagari (1994): Incomplete markets production economy with GE interest rate.

Bewley (1986): Individual uncertainty with fixed interest rate.

 Start this on Tuesday, talk about how to solve them next Thursday.