Macro II

Professor Griffy

UAlbany

Spring 2022

Introduction

- Today: the Hosios Condition
- Efficiency in search and matching models.
- (Note: largely derived from Christine Braun's lecture on the DMP model).
- Homework on my website.
- Code for Aiyagari w/ labor-leisure choice on the cluster.
- Due tonight.

Is zero unemployment efficient? No

higher unemployment incentivizes firms to post vacancies

but high unemployment is costly, less production

Is a high vacancy rate efficient?

vacancy creation is costly

but lots of vacancies reduces unemployment

So what is the efficient level of θ ?

Congestion externality

- one more hiring firm makes unemployed workers better off and makes all other hiring firms worse off
- one more searching worker makes hiring firms better off and makes all other searching workers worse off

Appropriability

firm pays a cost κ to post vacancy but does not get to keep the entire output p

- What value of θ would the social planer choose to maximize total output/utility if he is constrained by the same matching frictions?
 - does not care about wage b/c it's a linear transfer from the firm to the worker
- Does there exist a wage such that job creation is the same in the decentralized equilibrium as in the social planners outcome?
- Can we achieve this wage with the Nash solution?

The DMP Model ("Ch. 1 of Pissarides (2000)")

Agents:

- 1. Employed workers;
- 2. unemployed workers;
- 3. vacant firms;
- 4. matched firms.

• Linear utility (u = b, u = w) and production y = p > b.

- Matching function:
 - 1. Constant returns to scale (*L* is lab. force):

$$M(uL, vL) = uL \times M(1, \frac{v}{u}) = uL \times p(\theta)$$

- 2. where $\theta = \frac{v}{u}$ is "labor market tightness"
- 3. Match rates:

$$\underbrace{p(\theta)}_{\textit{Worker}} = \theta \underbrace{q(\theta)}_{\textit{Firm}}$$

Social planner: pick θ optimally, no need to respect free entry condition.

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-rt} [p(1-u) + bu - \kappa \theta u] dt$$

s.t. $\dot{u} = \delta(1-u) - p(\theta)u$

Social planner's problem

- ▶ p(1-u): social output of employment
- bu: leisure enjoyed by unemployed workers
- $\kappa \theta u$: cost of jobs
- Social planner is subject to the same transition equation for unemployment

The Hamiltonian

$$H = e^{-rt}[p(1-u) + bu - \kappa\theta u] + \mu(t)[\delta(1-u) - p(\theta)u]$$

FOCs

$$H_{\mu} = -\dot{\mu} + r\mu \Rightarrow -e^{-rt}(p - b + \kappa\theta) - [\delta + r + p(\theta)]\mu + \dot{\mu} = 0$$

$$H_{\theta} = 0 \Rightarrow -e^{-rt}\kappa u - \mu u(q(\theta) + \theta q'(\theta)) = 0$$

• μ : marginal value of an extra unemployed worker.

> Optimal θ

$$H_{ heta} = 0 \Rightarrow \qquad -e^{-rt}\kappa u - \mu uq(heta)(1 + rac{ heta q'(heta)}{q(heta)}) = 0$$

• What is $\frac{\theta q'(\theta)}{q(\theta)}$?

$$m(u, v) = vq(\theta)$$

$$\rightarrow \frac{\partial m(u, v)}{\partial u} = vq'(\theta)\frac{-v}{u^2}$$

$$\rightarrow \frac{\partial m(u, v)}{\partial u} = -\theta^2 q'(\theta)$$

$$\rightarrow \frac{\frac{\partial m(u, v)}{\partial u}}{m(u, v)} = -\frac{\theta^2 q'(\theta)}{vq(\theta)}$$

$$\rightarrow u\frac{\frac{\partial m(u, v)}{\partial u}}{m(u, v)} = -\frac{\theta q'(\theta)}{q(\theta)}$$

• $\frac{\theta q'(\theta)}{q(\theta)}$ is the elasticity of the matching function wrt u.

The Hamiltonian

$$H = e^{-rt}[p(1-u) + bu - \kappa\theta u] + \mu(t)[\delta(1-u) - p(\theta)u]$$

FOCs

$$H_{u} = -\dot{\mu} + r\mu \Rightarrow -e^{-rt}(p - b + \kappa\theta) - [\delta + r + p(\theta)]\mu + \dot{\mu} = 0$$

$$H_{\theta} = 0 \Rightarrow -e^{-rt}\kappa u - \mu uq(\theta)(1 - \eta(\theta)) = 0$$

• $\eta(\theta)$: elasticity of match fun. wrt u.

Optimal θ

 Using p(θ) = θq(θ) and solving in steady state (μ = 0): ^{p - b + κθ}/_{δ + r + p(θ)} = ^κ/_{q(θ)(1 - η(θ))} (p - b)(1 - η(θ)) + κ(1 - η(θ))^{p(θ)}/_{q(θ)} = ^{(δ + r + p(θ))κ}/_{q(θ)} → (1 - η(θ))(p - b) - ^{δ + r + η(θ)p(θ)}/_{q(θ)} κ = 0 (1)
 This is optimal θ

Decentralized solution

- Can the decentralized solution achieve the same level of θ ?
- ▶ i.e., can the decentralized level of unemployment be *efficient*?

Decentralized θ

Free entry
$$V = 0$$
:

$$rJ(w) = (p - w) + \delta[\mathcal{V} - J(w)]$$
$$(r + \delta)J(w) = (p - w)$$

Vacancy creation condition (i.e., free entry imposed):

$$q(\theta) = \frac{\kappa}{E[J(w)]}$$
$$q(\theta) = \frac{\kappa(r+\delta)}{(p-w)}$$
$$\theta = q^{-1}(\frac{\kappa(r+\delta)}{(p-w)})$$

- Thus, mapping between wages and θ. 1 equation, 2 unknowns.
- Need equation to determine wages in equilibrium.

Wage Determination

Recall Nash Bargained wages:

$$w = \operatorname{argmax}_{w} \underbrace{(W(w) - U)^{\beta}}_{Net \ Utility} \underbrace{(J(w) - V)^{1-\beta}}_{Net \ Profits}$$
$$0 = \beta (W(w) - U)^{\beta-1} (J(w) - V)^{1-\beta} \frac{\partial W}{\partial w}$$
$$+ (1 - \beta) (J(w) - V)^{-\beta} (W(w) - U) \frac{\partial J}{\partial w}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \bullet \quad \frac{\partial W}{\partial w} = 1, \ \frac{\partial J}{\partial w} = -1: \\ \beta (\frac{J(w)}{W(w) - U})^{1 - \beta} = (1 - \beta) (\frac{W(w) - U}{J(w)})^{\beta} \\ \beta (J(w) + W(w) - U) = W(w) - U \\ \beta S(w) = W(w) - U \end{array}$$

Wage Determination

$$w = (1 - \beta)b + \beta p + \beta \theta \kappa$$

Decentralized free entry

Job creation curve:

$$(r+\delta)J(w) = (p-w)$$
$$q(\theta) = \frac{\kappa}{J(w)}$$
$$q(\theta) = \frac{\kappa(r+\delta)}{(p-w)}$$
$$p - w - \frac{\kappa(r+\delta)}{q(\theta)} = 0$$

Now, plug in using wages we just found:

$$w = (1 - \beta)b + \beta p + \beta \theta \kappa$$

Decentralized free entry

Job creation curve:

$$p - ((1 - \beta)b + \beta p + \beta heta \kappa) - rac{\kappa(r + \delta)}{q(heta)} = 0$$

• identities: $p(\theta) = \theta q(\theta) \rightarrow \theta = \frac{p(\theta)}{q(\theta)}$

$$egin{aligned} &
ightarrow p - ((1-eta)b + eta p + eta rac{p(heta)}{q(heta)}\kappa) - rac{\kappa(r+\delta)}{q(heta)} = 0 \ & (1-eta)(p-b) - eta rac{p(heta)}{q(heta)}\kappa) - rac{\kappa(r+\delta)}{q(heta)} = 0 \ & (1-eta)(p-b) - rac{r+\delta+eta p(heta)}{q(heta)}\kappa = 0 \end{aligned}$$



Using
$$p(\theta) = \theta q(\theta)$$
 and solving in steady state $(\dot{\mu} = 0)$
 $(1 - \eta(\theta))(p - b) - \frac{\delta + r + \eta(\theta)p(\theta)}{q(\theta)}\kappa = 0$ (2)

From the decentralized solution, plug the wage curve into the Job creation curve

$$(1-\beta)(p-b) - \frac{\delta + r + \beta p(\theta)}{q(\theta)}\kappa = 0$$
(3)

1

- Comparing (1) and (2) we see that we have efficiency in the decentralized market if β = η(θ). The workers bargaining power is equal to the elasticity of the matching function with respect to u.
- This is a general result: we have efficiency when

$$\eta(\theta) = \beta$$

Thanks for a good semester!

I'm buying beers at City Line after you guys finish your prelims!