Macro II

Professor Griffy

UAlbany

Spring 2021

Introduction

- ► Today: the Hosios Condition
- ▶ Efficiency in search and matching models.
- (Note: largely derived from Christine Braun's lecture on the DMP model).
- New homework on my website.
- ► Code for Aiyagari w/ labor-leisure choice on the cluster.
- Email me if you can't access cluster.
- Due next Wednesday.

- ► Is zero unemployment efficient? No
 - higher unemployment incentivizes firms to post vacancies
 - but high unemployment is costly, less production
- Is a high vacancy rate efficient?
 - vacancy creation is costly
 - but lots of vacancies reduces unemployment
- \triangleright So what is the efficient level of θ ?

- Congestion externality
 - one more hiring firm makes unemployed workers better off and makes all other hiring firms worse off
 - one more searching worker makes hiring firms better off and makes all other searching workers worse off
- Appropriability
 - firm pays a cost κ to post vacancy but does not get to keep the entire output p

- What value of θ would the social planer choose to maximize total output/utility if he is constrained by the same matching frictions?
 - does not care about wage b/c it's a linear transfer from the firm to the worker
- Does there exist a wage such that job creation is the same in the decentralized equilibrium as in the social planners outcome?
- Can we achieve this wage with the Nash solution?

The DMP Model ("Ch. 1 of Pissarides (2000)")

- Agents:
 - 1. Employed workers;
 - 2. unemployed workers;
 - 3. vacant firms;
 - 4. matched firms.
- Linear utility (u = b, u = w) and production y = p > b.
- ► Matching function:
 - 1. Constant returns to scale (*L* is lab. force):

$$M(uL, vL) = uL \times M(1, \frac{v}{u}) = uL \times p(\theta)$$

- 2. where $\theta = \frac{v}{u}$ is "labor market tightness"
- 3. Match rates:

$$\underbrace{p(\theta)}_{Worker} = \theta \underbrace{q(\theta)}_{Firm}$$

ightharpoonup Social planner: pick θ optimally, no need to respect free entry condition.

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-rt} [p(1-u) + bu - \kappa \theta u] dt$$
s.t. $\dot{u} = \delta(1-u) - p(\theta)u$

- Social planner's problem
 - ightharpoonup p(1-u): social output of employment
 - bu: leisure enjoyed by unemployed workers
 - \triangleright *κθu*: cost of jobs
- Social planner is subject to the same transition equation for unemployment

► The Hamiltonian

$$H = e^{-rt}[p(1-u) + bu - \kappa\theta u] + \mu(t)[\delta(1-u) - p(\theta)u]$$

► FOCs

$$H_{u} = -\dot{\mu} + r\mu \Rightarrow -e^{-rt}(p - b + \kappa\theta) - [\delta + r + p(\theta)]\mu + \dot{\mu} = 0$$

$$H_{\theta} = 0 \Rightarrow -e^{-rt}\kappa u - \mu u(q(\theta) + \theta q'(\theta)) = 0$$

 \blacktriangleright μ : marginal value of an extra unemployed worker.

ightharpoonup Optimal θ

$$H_{\theta} = 0 \Rightarrow \qquad -e^{-rt}\kappa u - \mu uq(\theta)(1 + \frac{\theta q'(\theta)}{q(\theta)}) = 0$$

 $m(u, v) = vq(\theta)$

▶ What is $\frac{\theta q'(\theta)}{q(\theta)}$?

 $ightharpoonup \frac{\theta q'(\theta)}{q(\theta)}$ is the elasticity of the matching function wrt u.

The Hamiltonian

$$H = e^{-rt}[p(1-u) + bu - \kappa\theta u] + \mu(t)[\delta(1-u) - p(\theta)u]$$

► FOCs

$$H_{u} = -\dot{\mu} + r\mu \Rightarrow -e^{-rt}(p - b + \kappa\theta) - [\delta + r + p(\theta)]\mu + \dot{\mu} = 0$$

$$H_{\theta} = 0 \Rightarrow -e^{-rt}\kappa u - \mu uq(\theta)(1 - \eta(\theta)) = 0$$

 $ightharpoonup \eta(\theta)$: elasticity of match fun. wrt u.

Optimal θ

▶ Using $p(\theta) = \theta q(\theta)$ and solving in steady state $(\dot{\mu} = 0)$:

$$\frac{p - b + \kappa \theta}{\delta + r + p(\theta)} = \frac{\kappa}{q(\theta)(1 - \eta(\theta))}$$
$$(p - b)(1 - \eta(\theta)) + \kappa(1 - \eta(\theta))\frac{p(\theta)}{q(\theta)} = \frac{(\delta + r + p(\theta))\kappa}{q(\theta)}$$
$$\rightarrow (1 - \eta(\theta))(p - b) - \frac{\delta + r + \eta(\theta)p(\theta)}{q(\theta)}\kappa = 0 \tag{1}$$

lacktriangle This is optimal heta

Decentralized solution

- ▶ Can the decentralized solution achieve the same level of θ ?
- ▶ i.e., can the decentralized level of unemployment be *efficient*?

Decentralized θ

Free entry V = 0:

$$rJ(w) = (p - w) + \delta[\mathcal{N} - J(w)]$$
$$(r + \delta)J(w) = (p - w)$$

Vacancy creation condition (i.e., free entry imposed):

$$q(\theta) = \frac{\kappa}{E[J(w)]}$$
$$q(\theta) = \frac{\kappa(r+\delta)}{(p-w)}$$
$$\theta = q^{-1}(\frac{\kappa(r+\delta)}{(p-w)})$$

- ► Thus, mapping between wages and θ . 1 equation, 2 unknowns.
- Need equation to determine wages in equilibrium.

Wage Determination

► Recall Nash Bargained wages:

$$w = \operatorname{argmax}_{w} \underbrace{(W(w) - U)^{\beta}}_{\text{Net Utility}} \underbrace{(J(w) - V)^{1-\beta}}_{\text{Net Profits}}$$

$$0 = \beta (W(w) - U)^{\beta-1} (J(w) - V)^{1-\beta} \frac{\partial W}{\partial w} + (1-\beta)(J(w) - V)^{-\beta} (W(w) - U) \frac{\partial J}{\partial w}$$

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial w} = 1, \ \frac{\partial J}{\partial w} = -1:$$

$$\beta \left(\frac{J(w)}{W(w) - U}\right)^{1-\beta} = (1 - \beta)\left(\frac{W(w) - U}{J(w)}\right)^{\beta}$$

$$\beta(J(w) + W(w) - U) = W(w) - U$$
$$\beta S(w) = W(w) - U$$

Wage Determination

Note that $\beta S(w) = [W(w) - U]$

$$(1-\beta)(w-b) = \beta(p-w-\delta J(w)) + (1-\beta)(p(\theta)+\delta)\beta S(w)$$

And
$$(1-\beta)S(w) = J(w) \rightarrow S(w) = \frac{J(w)}{1-\beta}$$

$$(1-\beta)(w-b) = \beta(p-w-\delta J(w))$$

$$+ (1-\beta)(p(\theta)+\delta)\beta \frac{J(w)}{1-\beta}$$

$$w = (1-\beta)b + \beta p + p(\theta)\beta J(w)$$

► Free entry condition:
$$q(\theta) = \frac{\kappa}{J(w)} \rightarrow p(\theta) = \frac{\theta \kappa}{J(w)}$$

$$w = (1 - \beta)b + \beta p + \beta \theta \kappa$$

Decentralized free entry

Job creation curve:

$$(r+\delta)J(w) = (p-w)$$

$$q(\theta) = \frac{\kappa}{J(w)}$$

$$q(\theta) = \frac{\kappa(r+\delta)}{(p-w)}$$

$$p-w - \frac{\kappa(r+\delta)}{q(\theta)} = 0$$

Now, plug in using wages we just found:

$$w = (1 - \beta)b + \beta p + \beta \theta \kappa$$

Decentralized free entry

Job creation curve:

$$p - ((1 - \beta)b + \beta p + \beta \theta \kappa) - \frac{\kappa(r + \delta)}{q(\theta)} = 0$$

▶ identities: $p(\theta) = \theta q(\theta) \rightarrow \theta = \frac{p(\theta)}{q(\theta)}$

Looks familiar?

• Using $p(\theta) = \theta q(\theta)$ and solving in steady state $(\dot{\mu} = 0)$

$$(1 - \eta(\theta))(p - b) - \frac{\delta + r + \eta(\theta)p(\theta)}{q(\theta)}\kappa = 0$$
 (2)

From the decentralized solution, plug the wage curve into the Job creation curve

$$(1 - \beta)(p - b) - \frac{\delta + r + \beta p(\theta)}{q(\theta)} \kappa = 0$$
 (3)

- Comparing (1) and (2) we see that we have efficiency in the decentralized market if $\beta = \eta(\theta)$. The workers bargaining power is equal to the elasticity of the matching function with respect to u.
- ▶ This is a general result: we have efficiency when

$$\eta(\theta) = \beta$$

▶ This is called the Hosios (1990) condition

Next Time

- ▶ Directed/competitive search.
 - or
- Be sure to check for homework on my website (due next Wednesday).
- Final in less than 2 weeks.