Macro II

Professor Griffy

UAlbany

Spring 2023

Announcements

- Exams are in the process of being graded.
- I heard nothing about the baseball extra credit!
- ▶ Homework 5 due next Thursday, homework 6 due May 4th.
- ► Today: Start heterogeneous agent model.s
- First: Huggett and Aiyagari.

Thinking about Uncertainty in Macroeconomic Models

- ► Typical assumptions in macroeconomics are a convex combination of
 - 1. certainty equivalence:

$$u'(\bar{c}_{i,t}) = \beta E[(1 + \underbrace{r_{t+1}}_{GE}) \underbrace{u'(\bar{c}_{i,t+1})}_{Closer to \ linear}]$$
(1)

2. linearized decision rules:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} ((1+r_{t+1})a_{i,t+1} + w_{i,t+1} - c_{i,t+1} - a_{i,t+2}) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} ((1+r_{t+1})\beta_a \hat{S}_{i,t+1} + \beta_w (\hat{S}_{i,t+1}) - \beta_c \hat{S}_{i,t+1} - \beta_a \hat{S}_{i,t+2}) = 0$$
(3)

- ► Trick in Krusell-Smith: assume that workers make a linear prediction about prices in the future.
- ▶ i.e., workers use OLS to predict future prices.

Heterogeneous Agent Models

- Workers change their behavior in response to uncertainty.
- ► First wave of heterogeneous agent models: how do aggregates change when *individual idiosyncratic* uncertainty is uninsurable.
- In other words: when agents must accumulate *precautionary* savings to insure against income shocks.
- Key "first wave" papers (no particular order):
 - ► Huggett (1993): Incomplete markets exchange economy with GE interest rate.
 - ► Imrohoroglu (1989): Individual and aggregate uncertainty with fixed interest rate.
 - ► Aiyagari (1994): Incomplete markets production economy with GF interest rate.
 - ▶ Bewley (1986): Individual uncertainty with fixed interest rate.
- ► Krusell and Smith (1998): individual and aggregate uncertainty with GE interest rate.
- ▶ Do this using an approximation to the aggregate evolution of capital.

Heterogeneous Agent Models

We can write a generic worker's problem as

$$\max_{\{c_t, i_t, l_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} E \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t)$$
 (4)

$$s.t. c_t + i_t \le r_t a_t + w_t I_t \tag{5}$$

$$a_{t+1} = (1 - \delta)a_t + i_t \tag{6}$$

$$a_{t+1} \ge \underline{a}_t \tag{7}$$

$$w_t \sim F$$
 (8)

$$c_t \ge 0, I_t \ge 0, a_0 \text{ given}$$
 (9)

▶ How we deal with prices r_t , w_t and choices c_t , i_t , l_t is central to equilibrium.

Recursive Formulation

Can be written as

$$V(a) = u(c) + \beta E[V(a')]$$
 (10)
s.t. $c + i \le ra + wl$ (11)
 $a' = (1 - \delta)a + i$ (12)

$$a' \ge \underline{a}$$
 (13)

$$w \sim F$$
 (14)

$$c \ge 0, l \ge 0, a_0 \text{ given} \tag{15}$$

Under fairly general conditions, this inherits same properties as non-stochastic version.

Huggett (1993)

- ► Endowment economy, no aggregate risk.
- ► Setup:
 - Discrete time;
 - Continuum of heterogeneous agents;
 - Idiosyncratic endowment risk (labor income stochastic).
- Single bond, a, can be borrowed or saved.
- ▶ Borrowling limit, $\underline{a} \le 0 \le a_{it}$

Idiosyncratic Markov Income Uncertainty

- Suppose wl = e, $F[e'] = \pi(e'|e)$
- ightharpoonup Two states: e_l , e_h
- Can be written as

$$V(a,e) = u(c) + \beta \sum_{e'} \pi(e'|e) V(a',e')$$
 (16)

s.t.
$$c + a' \le (1+r)a + e$$
 (17)

$$a' \ge \underline{a} \tag{18}$$

$$c \ge 0, a_0$$
 given (19)

Agents want to build precautionary savings again idiosyncratic risk.

Equilibrium

- ▶ Define a distribution of agents over assets *as* and endowments e, ψ .
- Stationary equilibrium: aggregate state (ψ) is unchanging.
- lacktriangle Agents move around distribution, but LLN $o \psi' = \psi$
- ▶ Define $\psi(B)$ such that given transition function P:

$$\psi(B) = \int_{S} P(x, B) d\psi \tag{20}$$

- ▶ P(x, B) the probability that an agent with state x will have state $B \in \beta_S$ next period.
- B is a subset of the state space.

Stationary Equilibrium

- Noughly summarizing Huggett, 1993: A stationary equilibrium for this economy is a tuple (c, a', r, ψ) that satisfy
 - 1. c and a' solve the workers problem taking prices as given.
 - 2. Markets clear:
 - 2.1 consumption = production: $\int c(x)d\psi = \int ed\psi$
 - 2.2 no net savings: $\int a(x)d\psi = 0$
 - 3. ψ is stationary:

$$\psi(B) = \int_{S} P(x, B) d\psi \tag{21}$$

for all $B \in \beta_S$

Aiyagari (1994)

- Production economy, no aggregate risk.
- Firms employ capital, households save using capital (really assets loaned/borrowed from firm).
- ► Setup:
 - Discrete time;
 - Continuum of heterogeneous agents;
 - Idiosyncratic hours shocks (labor supply stochastic).
- ► Capital, k, can be borrowed or saved.
- ▶ Borrowling limit, $\underline{k} \le 0 \le k_{it}$

Heterogeneous Agent Production Economy

▶ In a production economy, the agent's problem is given by

$$V(k,\epsilon;\psi) = u(c) + \beta E[V(k'\epsilon';\psi')]$$
 (22)

s.t.
$$c + k' \le (1 + r(K, L) - \delta)k + w(K, L)\epsilon$$
 (23)

$$k' \ge \underline{k} \tag{24}$$

$$\epsilon \sim \mathsf{Markov}P(\epsilon'|\epsilon)$$
 (25)

$$\psi' = \Psi(\psi) \tag{26}$$

$$c \ge 0, k \ge 0, k_0 \text{ given} \tag{27}$$

- $ightharpoonup \epsilon$ is a markov process that yields hours worked.
- \blacktriangleright Ψ is an unspecified evolution of the aggregate state (k, ϵ) .
- Prices are determined from the firm's problem

Prices - The Firm's Problem

- ▶ How we handle prices determines the difficulty of this problem.
- In this economy, a single firm produces using labor (hours) and capital.

$$\Pi = \max_{K,L} F(K,L) - wL - rK \tag{28}$$

▶ This yields standard competitive prices for the rental rates.

Information

- What information do workers need in order to be able to solve this problem?
- Current period:
 - interest rate, r(K, L). This is known from being told the aggregates at the beginning of the period.
 - wage rate, w(K, L). This is known from being told the aggregates at the beginning of the period.

► Future:

- interest rate and wage rate next period.
- These depend on capital and labor next period.
- Thus, workers need to predict capital and labor in future.
- ▶ Rep. Agent model: just need to know their own decision rule.
- Here: need to know distribution across workers, and their decision rules.

Stationary Recursive Competitive Equilibrium

- A stationary RCE is given by pricing functions r, w, a worker value function $V(k, \epsilon; \psi)$, worker decision rules k', c, a type-distribution $\psi(k, \epsilon)$, and aggregates K and L that satisfy
 - k' and c are the optimal solutions to the worker's problem given prices.
 - 2. Prices are formed competitively from the firm's problem.
 - 3. Consistency between aggregate evolution and individual decision rules: ψ is the stationary distribution implied by worker decision rules.
 - 4. Aggregates are consistent with individual policy rules: $K = \int k d\psi$, $L = \int \epsilon d\psi$

Return to Capital

- How does return to capital vary by
 - \triangleright serial corr. (ρ) in labor income (think AR1 process)
 - ▶ and CRRA (μ) ?

T	٨	DI	r	TI

A. Net retu	rn to capital in %/aggrega	ate saving rate in % (σ =	0.2)
$\rho \backslash \mu$	1	3	5
0	4.1666/23.67	4.1456/23.71	4.0858/23.83
0.3	4.1365/23.73	4.0432/23.91	3.9054/24.19
0.6	4.0912/23.82	3.8767/24.25	3.5857/24.86
0.9	3.9305/24.14	3.2903/25.51	2.5260/27.36
3. Net retu	rn to capital in %/aggrega	ate saving rate in % (σ =	0.4)
$\rho \backslash \mu$	1	3	5
0	4.0649/23.87	3.7816/24.44	3.4177/25.22
0.3	3.9554/24.09	3.4188/25.22	2.8032/26.66
0.6	3.7567/24.50	2.7835/26.71	1.8070/29.37
0.9	3.3054/25.47	1.2894/31.00	-0.3456/37.63

▶ Higher ρ or μ , more saving, lower return.

Krussell-Smith (1998)

- In the previous model, we relied on the aggregate *certainty* of $\psi(k,\epsilon)$ for a solution by appealing to the law of large numbers.
- ▶ i.e., individuals move around the distribution, but those shocks offset and in the aggregate the distribution is unchanged.
- But what happens if there is aggregate uncertainty?
- Now the distribution changes in the equilibrium, and we need a way to incorporate this into worker decision rules.
- ► Krussell-Smith: Aiyagari + aggregate shocks.
- ► Some details:
 - ▶ Idiosyncratic labor shock {0,1} markov.
 - Aggregate shocks.
 - Idiosyncratic shock prob. changes with agg. shocks.

Aggregate Uncertainty

In a production economy, the agent's problem is given by

$$V(k,\epsilon,z;\psi) = u(c) + \beta E[V(k'\epsilon',z';\psi')]$$
 (29)

s.t.
$$c + k' \le (1 + r(z, K, L) - \delta)k + w(z, K, L)\epsilon$$
 (30)

$$k' \ge \underline{k} \tag{31}$$

$$z' = \mathsf{Markov}P(z'|z)$$
 (32)

$$\epsilon \sim \mathsf{Markov}P(\epsilon'|\epsilon,z')$$
 (33)

$$\psi' = \Psi(\psi, z, z') \tag{34}$$

$$c \ge 0, k \ge 0, k_0 \text{ given}, z_0 \text{ given}$$
 (35)

- lacktriangle ϵ is a markov process for employment $\epsilon \in \{0,1\}$
- $ightharpoonup \Psi$ is an unspecified evolution of the aggregate state.
- z also evolves as a markov process.
- Prices are determined from the firm's problem.

Prices - The Firm's Problem

- How we handle prices determines the difficulty of this problem.
- ► In this economy, a single firm produces using labor (hours) and capital.

$$\Pi = \max_{K,L} zF(K,L) - wL - rK \tag{36}$$

▶ This yields standard competitive prices for the rental rates.

Laws of Motion

- The future aggregate state enters the probability of employment.
- ▶ This means that it impacts **all** of the laws of motion:

$$z' = \mathsf{Markov}P(z'|z) \tag{37}$$

$$\epsilon \sim \mathsf{Markov}P(\epsilon'|\epsilon,z') \tag{38}$$

$$k' \leq (1 + r(z,K,L) - \delta)k + w(z,K,L)\epsilon - c \tag{39}$$

$$\psi' = \Psi(\psi,z,z') \tag{40}$$

ightharpoonup Because shocks to z change employment status and prices.

Recursive Competitive Equilibrium

- ▶ An RCE is given by pricing functions r, w, a worker value function $V(k, \epsilon, z; \psi)$, worker decision rules k', c, a type-distribution $\psi(k, \epsilon)$, and aggregates K and L that satisfy
 - 1. k' and c are the optimal solutions to the worker's problem given prices.
 - 2. Prices are formed competitively from the firm's problem.
 - 3. Consistency between aggregate evolution and individual decision rules: ψ is the distribution implied by worker decision rules given the aggregate state.
 - 4. Aggregates are consistent with individual policy rules: $K = \int k d\psi$, $L = \int \epsilon d\psi$

Type Distribution

- ► The type distribution is a problem.
- ► Each policy function and transition depends on the type distribution.
- But the type distribution is time-varying in response to aggregate shocks.
- ► Alternative: use a smaller number of moments that can be calculated quickly to characterize the type distribution.
- Like a "sufficient statistic" for the type distribution.
- Discuss the solution to this next time.

Business Cycle Effects

- ▶ This model is built to handle stochastic shocks.
- ▶ How do heterogeneous agents respond over a business cycle?

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{TABLE 2} \\ \text{Aggregate Time Series} \end{array}$

Model	$Mean(k_i)$	Standard Deviation $Corr(c_i, y_i)$ (i_i) $Corr(y_i, y_{i-4})$		
Benchmark:				
Complete markets	11.54	.691	.031	.486
Incomplete markets	11.61	.701	.030	.481
$\sigma = 5$:				
Complete markets	11.55	.725	.034	.551
Incomplete markets	12.32	.741	.033	.524
Real business cycle:				
Complete markets	11.56	.639	.027	.342
Incomplete markets	11.58	.669	.027	.339
Stochastic-β:				
Incomplete markets	11.78	.825	.027	.459

Conclusion

▶ Next time: Solving heterogeneous agent models.